



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,116	02/22/2000	Anthony D Minervini	804RP746	2137

29176 7590 08/28/2002

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC
P. O. BOX 1135
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135

EXAMINER

EASTHOM, KARL D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2832

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/510,116

Applicant(s)
Minervine et al.

Examiner
Karl Easthom

Art Unit
2832



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 26, 2002
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7, 8, 10-16, and 18-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 33-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 16, 18-32, and 38-42 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7, 8, and 10-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2832

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1- 3, 4-5, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barrett et al. '302. Barrett et al. discloses the claimed invention at Fig 23 with first and second substrates 138 and electrodes 132d,e, with end terminations 156a, b. The first surface of 138 is not flat so that contact is made on the first surface by PTC elements 124 and 122 to the first surface of 138, which the electrode contacts another portion of said first surface, albeit, the two portions of the first surface are not on a plane

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2832

2. Claims 1-5, 7-8, and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunuhara (JP 4-150001 abstract only) in view of Niihara et al. (JP 6-69416), or McGuire et al. '403. Sunuhara discloses the claimed invention at the abstract, and the main figure thereat, except the PTC thermistor elements 12, 14 (on either side of second substrate 28c) material being polymer. The substrates are 28a/28b – first substrate ; 28c/28b - second substrate; and 28e/28d – third substrate, with electrodes 30a-30e, and end terminations 32, 34. The first surface of first substrate 28a, 28b is not flat, but the surface has a right angle where the first thermistor 12 touches first surface 28b of first substrate 28a/28b, and the electrode 30a touches the first surface 28a of first substrate 28a/28b. Similar remarks apply for the other insulating surfaces. Niihara discloses that polymer PTC resistors are useful for replacing ceramic thermistors such as that of Niihara at par. 16 in order to form a reduced size. McGuire discloses that ceramic and polymer thermistor devices are well known for current protection, and discloses similar devices at Figs. 10 and 12 to that of Yoneda, further suggesting that the configuration of Yoneda can be made of polymers. It would have been obvious to employ one well known material for another where one has certain advantages such as reduced size, and polymer devices need not be sintered as ceramics, and all materials are employed as thermistor circuit protection devices. As to claim 7, ceramic, dielectric or other material is disclosed at the top of col. 8 of McGuire, and where copper is disclosed for the electrodes at col. 5, lines 5-12, the insulating layer is deemed a copper clad PC board since it can be used as a PC board and no other printed circuits are on same. It would have been obvious to replace the well known equivalent materials in the electrical resistor arts for each other where Sunuhara employs a ceramic to insulate, and to employ the copper conduct to conduct electricity for the purpose of employing

Art Unit: 2832

well known replacement parts during shortage of other equivalent parts. As to claims 14-15, the multiple layer foils are disclosed as a known electrode for polymers in McGuire as 100,150,180 for example, so that it would have been obvious to employ the materials that are known to be compatible with metal foils. In claim 13, the current flows from end termination 34 to end termination 32, via electrode 30a to thermistor 12 to electrode 30b. In claim 11, the third substrate is 28e having electrode 30d, with second substrate 28c having electrode 30b, and second PTC element 14. In claim 12, the thermistors are in parallel.

3. Claims 16, 18-32 and 38-42 are allowed.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/26/02 have been fully considered but they are moot.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Easthom whose telephone number is 703 308-3306. The

Art Unit: 2832

examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 5:30AM-4:00PM. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308-7722 for regular communications and 703 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0956.


Karl D Easthom
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2832

KDE
August 21, 2002